



**NATIONAL SURVEY OF TEACHER BELIEFS AND PRACTICES  
RELATED TO PEER TEACHING**

TECHNICAL REPORT  
Contents, Tables, Order Form  
Laurel Puchner, PhD

February 2004

©The Hoenny Center for Research and Development in Teaching

*Our nation's social and economic future depends on good schools, and a good education plays an important role in our children's success as adults. Learning to teach should be a part of it. Teaching effectively is basic to good parenting and productive community leadership. It is important in all occupations where people interact with the public and instruct others within the occupational group. It is paradoxical, then, that our society delays the systematic development of effective teaching skills until adulthood and confines that development to pre-service professional teachers.*

(paraphrased from The Hoenny Center Vision Statement)

This project was funded by  
The Hoenny Center for Research and Development in Teaching.

<[www.hoennycenter.org](http://www.hoennycenter.org)>

©Hoenny Center 2004

For copies, contact  
**The Hoenny Center**  
**PO Box 9388**  
**St. Louis, MO 63117-0388**  
Phone: 314.567.5111  
E-mail: [teachers@hoennycenter.org](mailto:teachers@hoennycenter.org)

## CONTENTS

List of Tables	iv
Introduction	1
Method	3
Instrument	
Procedure	
Results	3
Characteristics of the sample	3
Results according to research questions	5
Ques. 1 – Peer teaching use	5
Ques. 2 – Reasons for using peer teaching	6
Ques. 3 – Developing pedagogical talent	7
Ques. 4 – Local resources for pedagogical development	8
Ques. 5 – Cross-tabulations	8
Teaching situation	9
School variables	10
Teacher characteristics	11
Ques. 6 – Relationships between estimates of teaching interest and frequency and motivation for using peer teaching	13
Discussion	14
Conclusion	17
References	18
Appendix A – Questionnaire and cover letter	21
Appendix B – Tables	27

## LIST OF TABLES (pp. 28-94)

- Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the sample
- Table 2. Teacher estimates of racial composition and wealth of the student body in school
- Table 3. Percentages of teachers who selected various teaching load, school size, district size & school setting options
- Table 4: Comparison of demographic characteristics of the study sample with characteristics of American Public School Teacher in 2001 (NEA, 2003)
- Table 5. Frequency of use of each type of peer teaching listed. The table indicates the percentages of respondents selecting each frequency option.
- Table 6: "Other" types of peer teaching listed by respondents, categorized by researchers. (Some respondents listed more than one type, so number of responses exceeds number of respondents reporting "other" answers).
- Table 7: Percentage of teachers who reported each listed reason for using peer teaching as their "best reason" or as an "other important reason."
- Table 8: "Other" reasons listed for using peer teaching, categorized by researcher
- Table 9. Teacher perceptions concerning pedagogical ability of students. Table cites percentages of teachers choosing each option.
- Table 10. Teacher reports of local resources that can support pedagogically talented students. Table lists percentages of respondents who marked each resource option.
- Table 11: Chi-square table for grade level taught by frequency of use of cooperative or collaborative learning
- Table 12: Chi-square table for grade level taught by frequency of use of higher ability student tutors or coaches lower ability student of same age/grade
- Table 13: Chi-square table for grade level taught by frequency of use of reciprocal peer tutoring
- Table 14: Chi-square table for grade level taught by frequency of use of reciprocal teaching model
- Table 15: Chi-square table for grade level taught by frequency of use of older students teach groups of younger students
- Table 16: Chi-square table for grade level taught by frequency of use of student-led classes or sessions
- Table 17: Chi-square table for grade level taught by frequency of how often use peer teaching of any kind
- Table 18: Chi-square table for grade level taught by reported proportion of students who seem interested in helping other students learn
- Table 19: Chi-square table for grade level taught by proportion of the students who seem effective in helping other students learn
- Table 20: Chi-square table for grade level taught by amount of attention given to improve students' teaching skills
- Table 21: Chi-square table for grade level taught by frequency of conversations with other teachers and/or parents

- Table 22: Chi-square table for no. of students in grade book by frequency of use of cooperative or collaborative learning for elementary teacher respondents
- Table 23: Chi-square table for no. of students in grade book by frequency of use of higher ability students tutor lower ability student of same age/grade for elementary teacher respondents
- Table 24: Chi-square table for no. of students in grade book by frequency of use of reciprocal peer tutoring for elementary teacher respondents
- Table 25: Chi-square table for no. of students in grade book by frequency of use of reciprocal teaching model for elementary teacher respondents
- Table 26: Chi-square table for school size by frequency of use of cooperative or collaborative learning
- Table 27: Chi-square table for school size by frequency of use of higher ability student tutors or coaches lower ability student of same age/grade
- Table 28: Chi-square table for school size by frequency of use of reciprocal peer tutoring
- Table 29: Chi-square table for school size by frequency of use of reciprocal teaching model
- Table 30: Chi-square table for school size by frequency of use of older students teach groups of younger students
- Table 31: Chi-square table for school size by frequency of peer-teaching use
- Table 32: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of minority students in school by frequency of use of cooperative or collaborative learning
- Table 33: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of minority students in school by frequency of use of higher ability student tutors or coaches lower ability student of same age/grade
- Table 34: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of minority students in school by frequency of use of reciprocal peer tutoring
- Table 35: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of minority students in school by frequency of use of reciprocal teaching model
- Table 36: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of minority students in school by frequency of how often use peer teaching of any type
- Table 37: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of students in school qualifying for free or reduced-price lunches by frequency of use of cooperative or collaborative learning
- Table 38: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of students in school qualifying for free or reduced-price lunches by frequency of use of higher ability student tutors or coaches lower ability student of same age/grade
- Table 39: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of students in school qualifying for free or reduced-price lunches by frequency of use of reciprocal peer tutoring
- Table 40: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of students in school qualifying for free or reduced-price lunches by frequency of use of reciprocal teaching model
- Table 41: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of students in school qualifying for free or reduced-price lunches by frequency of use of student-led classes or sessions

- Table 42: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of students in school qualifying for free or reduced-price lunches by frequency of how often use peer teaching of any type
- Table 43: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of students in school qualifying for free or reduced-price lunches by frequency of attention given to improve students' teaching skills
- Table 44: Chi-square table for estimated percentage of students in school qualifying for free or reduced-price lunches by frequency of conversations with other teachers and/or parents
- Table 45: Chi-square table for years of teaching experience by frequency of use of cooperative or collaborative learning groups
- Table 46: Chi-square table for years of teaching experience by frequency of use of peer teaching of any type
- Table 47: Chi-square table of years of teaching experience by whether or not teachers use peer teaching to improve the teaching ability of their students
- Table 48: Chi-square table of age by frequency of use of cooperative or collaborative learning groups
- Table 49: Chi-square table of age by frequency of how often use peer teaching of any type
- Table 50: Chi-square table of age by whether or not teachers use peer teaching to improve the teaching ability of their students
- Table 51: Chi-square table of race/ethnicity of respondent by frequency of use of cooperative or collaborative learning groups
- Table 52: Chi-square table of race/ethnicity by frequency of use of higher ability student tutors or coaches lower ability student of same age/grade
- Table 53: Chi-square table of race/ethnicity by frequency of use of reciprocal peer tutoring
- Table 54: Chi-square table of race/ethnicity by frequency of use of peer tutoring
- Table 55: Chi-square table of race/ethnicity by frequency of how often use peer teaching of any type
- Table 56: Chi-square table of race/ethnicity by whether or not teachers use peer teaching to improve the teaching ability of their students
- Table 57: Chi-square table for race/ethnicity by frequency of attention given to improve students' teaching skills
- Table 58: Chi-square table of race/ethnicity by frequency of conversations with other teachers and/or parents
- Table 59: Chi-square table of race/ethnicity by no. of years of teaching experience
- Table 60: Chi-square table for race/ethnicity by age
- Table 61: Chi-square table for estimate of how many students are effective at teaching others by frequency of peer teaching use
- Table 62: Chi-square table for estimation of how many students are interested in helping others by frequency of peer-teaching use
- Table 63: Chi-square table of how often use peer teaching of any type by whether or not teachers use peer teaching to improve the teaching ability of their students

Table 64: Chi-square table of attention given to improve students' teaching skills by whether or not teachers use peer teaching to improve the teaching ability of their students

Table 65: Chi-square table for estimation of how many students are interested in helping others by whether or not teachers use peer teaching to improve the teaching ability of their students

Table 66: Chi-square table for estimation of how many students are effective at teaching others by whether or not teachers use peer teaching to improve the teaching ability of their students

Table 67: Chi-square table of conversations with other teachers and/or parents by whether or not teachers use peer teaching to improve the teaching ability of their students

---

## ORDER FORM

For a printed copy of the report, send this form with a check or institutional purchase order for \$15.00USD to cover duplicating, binding, and shipping to:

Hoenny Center National Survey  
PO Box 9388  
St. Louis, MO 63117-0388  
USA

Ship to: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address A: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address B: \_\_\_\_\_  
City/State/Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Billing (only if different from above):

Bill to: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address A: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address B: \_\_\_\_\_  
City/State/Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact information for inquiries:

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_